

**City of Carpinteria  
City Council Minutes  
Regular Meeting  
Council Chambers  
Monday, January 23, 2012**

---

**ROLL CALL**

The meeting was called to order by Mayor Al Clark at 5:35 p.m.

Councilmembers present:

Councilmember Gregg Carty  
Councilmember Kathleen Reddington (arrived at 5:55 p.m.)  
Councilmember Joe Armendariz  
Vice Mayor J. Bradley Stein (absent)  
Mayor Al Clark

Staff members present:

Dave Durflinger, City Manager  
Peter Brown, City Attorney  
Fidela Garcia, City Clerk  
John Thornberry, Administrative Services Director  
Dylan Paul, Public Works Management Analyst  
Charlie Ebeling, Public Works Director

**PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

All present were led in the salute to the flag by Mayor Clark.

**PRESENTATIONS BY CITIZENS/PUBLIC COMMENT**

Peter Brown, City Attorney, stated that he was asked to speak regarding the scope of public comment on matters on or not on the Council's agenda and heard during the time of the Council's Brown Act discussion. He noted that the topic must be within the subject matter jurisdiction of the City Council and that courts have taken a broad interpretation, specifically discussion that is critical of Councilmembers. He stated that the Brown Act prohibits the Council from restricting criticism of public officials and that the California Constitution has a very broad statement relating to citizens having the right to free speech that is much broader than the U.S. Constitution. He noted that one of the principles of free speech was that only under the most extraordinary circumstances may the Council exercise a prior restraint or not allow someone to speak. He also noted that in California there were broad protections for people to speak and address their public officials. He stated that the Council Chambers qualifies as a public forum similar to a park or street where the public has the broadest right to speak and the government has the least ability to restrict. He also stated that there were

protections for citizens who speak in a public context and the Civil Code provides immunity for people who speak in a public context; however, there are appropriate limits under California law for speech that occurs in a Council meeting not based on the topic of the speech but on the nature in which the speech is delivered. He further stated that speech cannot become disruptive of the good order of a meeting and that meetings must be held in a good order so that people feel safe and comfortable speaking. He noted that all rights of view must be protected and respect must be given for speakers of differing views. He also noted that the Mayor has the right to ensure that the meeting proceeds in good order and that the meeting is held in a calm manner and not in a manner that is disruptive or threatening or not in good order. He stated that this can be done by limitations on how long somebody can speak, limitations on repetition, and limitations on speech that threatens the meeting from being conducted in a reasonable and open fashion.

Councilmember Armendariz inquired whether public comment was a safe harbor against libel, slander, and defamation of character. Mr. Brown responded that he did not know the exact answer to that; however, Civil Code Section 47 appears to create a safe harbor for speech in a public meeting such as a Council meeting. He stated that court cases appear to say that there may be privileges involved when speech is done in a Council setting where speech may appear on its face to be defamatory. He also stated that a public agency should be careful before restricting such speech because although it may appear to be defamatory or have no basis the courts are protective of people's rights to provide opinions as opposed to facts. He further stated that these types of cases say that public agencies should be very careful before restricting a person from speaking in a certain manner. Councilmember Armendariz inquired whether this applied to members of City staff. Mr. Brown responded that this also applies to City staff and that there are some cases that discuss the same principles being applied when directing statements to City staff. Councilmember Armendariz inquired whether someone could come up to the microphone and make slanderous, libelous, and defamatory statements about a member of City staff. Mr. Brown responded that he believed the same rules apply to Councilmembers, elected officials, appointed officials, and City staff. He noted that there are cases in which local agencies try to adopt rules that will not allow people to make critical statements of public officials or staff or that people had to pass certain tests in order to make those restrictions; however, these types of rules have been struck down by the courts. Councilmember Armendariz inquired whether someone may come up and make slanderous, libelous, and defamatory comments regarding anybody in the community. Mr. Brown responded that he did not know the answer to that; however, he did know that Civil Code Section 47 gives very broad latitude to people speaking in public meetings.

Susan Allen spoke regarding directional drilling from Platform Hogan. She noted that the application only gave passing comment that they believed there would not be an increase in traffic. She also noted that the question of onshore staging of the project was not answered. She stated that it appeared that staging would take place at the Carpinteria Pier and the parking lot. She also stated that she believed this project was

similar to the Paradon project that had traffic issues and problems with materials storage. She expressed her hope that the City will take a close look at staging, compare it to what they were looking at with the Paradon project, and make a timely comment to the State regarding this project.

**INTRODUCTIONS, PROCLAMATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS:** None

**PUBLIC INFORMATION REPORTS AND ANNOUNCEMENTS:** None

**CITY MANAGER'S REPORT:** None

**AGENDA MODIFICATIONS:** None

**CONSENT CALENDAR**

Motion by Councilmember Armendariz, seconded by Councilmember Carty, to approve the Consent Calendar and ordinances as read by title only.

Upon voice vote, motion carried. Councilmember Reddington and Vice Mayor Stein were absent.

1. Minutes of the Regular Meeting Held January 9, 2012
2. Expenditures for the Period Ending January 18, 2012
3. Adopt Ordinance No. 654, as read by title only, to Amend the Contract Between the City of Carpinteria and the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System
4. Receive the Quarterly Investment Results and Certifications Concerning Compliance with Investment Policy and Cash Flow Needs for the Next Six Months
5. Authorize the Incentive Loan Terms Offered by Southern California Gas Company and the Purchase of a New Pool Water Heater/Boiler
6. Approve a Request from the Carpinteria Education Fund to Sponsor the 20<sup>th</sup> Annual Orchard to Ocean Event
7. Approve a Request for Waiver of Temporary Use Permit Application Fee for the Boys and Girls Club Located at 4849 Foothill Road

**ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS:** None

**PUBLIC HEARINGS:** None

**OTHER BUSINESS:**

8. City Council Options Regarding the Conduct of Councilmember Armendariz

This item was continued to the next meeting due to lack of majority of Councilmembers present who could act on this item

9. Review of Fiscal Year 2011-12 Mid-Year Financial Results and Adjustment of Appropriations

Recommendation: No changes to the budget are being recommended at this time. Receive the report and direct staff as appropriate.

Councilmember Reddington arrived at 5:55 p.m.

City Manager Dave Durflinger and Administrative Services Director John Thornberry presented the staff report.

No public comment.

Received and filed.

10. Options for Adjusting Contract Law Enforcement Service Levels

Recommendation: Select the preferred option and direct staff to prepare the requisite resolution, should a contract change be authorized.

City Manager Dave Durflinger presented the staff report and PowerPoint slides.

Mayor Clark inquired whether an independent study was conducted to determine proper staffing levels and what services could the COPS grant be used. Mr. Durflinger responded that the use of COPS funds are very flexible and could be used for any Sheriff's contract service needs. He noted that in terms of staffing levels, with the exception of the Commander position, the front line Deputy positions were established when the City transitioned from a Police Department to contracting with the Sheriff's Department. He stated that there had been no changes to the staffing levels and the positions were negotiated as part of that transition. He also stated that he had not seen where an independent study was conducted to determine the number of Deputies or level of service. Mayor Clark inquired whether the City had received complaints from the community due to the reduced level of service. Mr. Durflinger responded that the City had not received such complaints. Mayor Carty inquired regarding the true availability of the COPS grant. Mr. Durflinger responded that the grant was truly available and that the Sheriff's Department had received the payment.

Councilmember Carty noted that the contract scope of services indicated that if funding was not available that two Deputies assigned to Carpinteria would be adequately trained to carry out the Community Resource Deputy responsibilities. He inquired whether there was funding available for that position. Mr. Durflinger responded that he believed Councilmember Carty was referring to comments made previously by Lt. Moore in that the Community Resource Deputy's duties would be assumed by a Deputy on an as-needed basis.

Councilmember Reddington inquired how the savings to the budget in the amount of \$205,326 was reflected. Administrative Services Director John Thornberry responded that the savings was incorporated into the adopted budget. Mr. Durflinger added that \$3,173,897 was the amount listed in the adopted budget and from this amount the City was realizing a savings of \$205,326.

Lt. Kelly Moore stated that the current Sheriff's Department contract reduced the service level by three full-time equivalent positions. He also stated that although the Sheriff's Department understood the City's financial decision to reduce the level of service to the City it also had a corresponding reduction in the level of safety to the community. He mentioned that it had increased the potential for violence and reduced the ability of the Deputies to safely address the problems of the community. He noted that there were no statistics that measure the crimes that were prevented by having proper staffing levels. He commented that the reduction in staffing had decreased the safety to the Deputies and the citizens of the community and that there was an increased potential for calls for service to become violent. He noted that calls regarding domestic violence and other disturbance calls necessitate two Deputies to respond, it was putting the safety of the Deputies and community members in jeopardy, and it could force a Deputy to use a higher level of force. He stated that the reduction in staffing levels had required the Sheriff's Department to supplement the City's contract services with Deputies from the adjacent unincorporated areas and that the City of Carpinteria was pulling resources from the residents of the unincorporated areas who are paying for those services. He further stated that the City's decision had also reduced the safety of the neighbors in those areas. He provided an example where Deputies responded to a burglary and alarm in progress at Rincon Cycles on January 1 that required all five of the Deputies on duty out of the station. He stated that the incident involved two local citizens who had been going through a crime spree in Carpinteria and the adjacent County areas and several crimes were solved as a result. He noted that due to their requirement to adjust staffing levels and scheduling there was currently a period of 12 hours on Sunday, Monday, Tuesday, and every other Wednesday where only one Deputy is assigned to Carpinteria and that during that time when the Deputy reported on a Monday and Tuesday nine different thefts from vehicles occurred due to reduced, inadequate staffing. He stated that what initially started as a budgetary concern had evolved to what appeared to be a policy decision related to service levels. He also stated that it was his opinion that the service levels currently being provided by the City Council was insufficient to provide for the safety of the community and the Deputies. He further stated that it was also his opinion that the City Council was making policy decisions

based on the past history of the Sheriff's Department to supplant personnel from the unincorporated areas of the County in order to augment an already insufficient and inappropriate level of service to the City of Carpinteria. He noted that the Sheriff's Department does not want to deliver substandard level of service and he urged the City Council to reinstate the staffing levels to the previous levels by first reinstating the Patrol Deputy, then reinstating the Detective, and lastly reinstating the Community Resource Deputy.

Mayor Clark inquired whether there were any statistics on crimes and calls for service in the City and surrounding County area from prior years to the current year that includes the reduced level of service. Lt. Moore responded that historically the City of Carpinteria had always strived to achieve a good balance between discretion and enforcing the letter of the law. He noted that when the Sheriff's Department takes an enforcement action a statistic is generated and when they solve a problem without enforcement action then no statistic is generated. He commented that it was important to understand that the statistics are not important but rather it was the service that they are providing.

Mayor Clark asked that Lt. Moore explain the cost breakdown for a Deputy position. Lt. Moore explained that generally the cost breakdown for a Deputy position includes salary between \$90,000 to \$100,000 and also includes the County's cost for providing a vehicle, uniforms, training, benefits, office supplies, and administrative costs.

Councilmember Carty stated that in Fiscal Year 2011-12 the City Council was informed of a proposed increase of \$340,000 for police services. He also stated that at some point the increases needed to stop. He further stated that he agreed that it was crucial at one time to have two Patrol Officers on duty at one time. He noted that at the previous meeting he had asked whether the Sheriff's Department had looked internally to find ways to be more efficient and if there was any way to restore the Officer without additional funding. Lt. Moore responded that it was difficult to reinstate something and not pay for it. He stated that somebody had to pay for the service and the Sheriff's Department could not continue to supplant and pull from other resources.

Councilmember Reddington inquired whether other comparable cities have a single Deputy on patrol one or two days a week. Lt. Moore responded that the Cities of Buellton and Solvang have one Deputy; however, their populations are one-third of Carpinteria's population. Councilmember Reddington inquired whether anyone had discussed or considered the liabilities of having one Deputy instead of two within Carpinteria. Lt. Moore responded that the liability was not so much financial as it was in the potential for people getting hurt. He stated that he believed the liability question was what it would cost the City. He also stated that the Sheriff's Department believed there was a high liability in the potential for their staff and citizens getting hurt by an escalation of a situation.

Councilmember Reddington stated that the City has run with a certain amount of Officers since its inception and due to budgetary constraints the City now had a decrease in the number of Officers. She inquired regarding the liability if there were to be a catastrophe resulting in Officers or civilians being hurt and what would be the liability to the City and to the Sheriff's Department. City Attorney Peter Brown responded that in looking at the contract his general presumption was that tort liability or liability for an incident was not a major concern for the City. He stated that he believed that Lt. Moore's statement regarding liability in terms of ensuring adequate support was a more realistic way to discuss this concern.

Chief of Law Enforcement Operations Geoff Banks stated that when the budgetary issue arose he met with Mr. Durflinger who indicated that the City had a concern and needed to make a ten percent cut across the board which equated to three positions. He further stated that they considered options and the first considerations were the Community Resource Deputy, a Detective, and a Patrol Deputy. He noted that these positions were prioritized by which were the most critical to the City's safety services. He stated that the most important feature in public safety was the Deputy who responds to the call or is out on the street handling the call. He further stated that they could not dismiss a Detective or a Community Resource Deputy. He commented that the City could encounter issues if it cannot investigate and take the cases to trial. He noted that he and Mr. Durflinger prioritized the positions and they felt this would be a short-term solution. He mentioned that he had been involved in public safety in Carpinteria since 1971 and at that time the population was approximately 6,900 and the Police Department deployed at least two Officers. He noted that they have had two Officers working 24 hours, seven days a week since 1967 until July of last year when they cut one shift. He also noted that when the Police Department went to contract in 1992 they had 19 sworn Officers and now they barely had over 13. He stated that as far as efficiencies he believed they were doing a good job with one-third less staff from when they were a Police Department. He noted that they use ways to be more effective by using technology and computer-aided dispatch and they try to keep the Deputies in the field. He stated that the key to public safety was having a Deputy out in the field being able to prevent crime, respond to crime, and interact with the community. He noted that the challenges of crime in the present day were very difficult with technological crimes and with how they have to respond. He shared a story of an incident that occurred in Lompoc earlier this year. He stated that at 3:00 a.m. a Deputy stopped a suspicious vehicle in Vandenberg Village which was being driven by a 47-year old male. He also stated that a young teenage girl approximately 14 years of age was also in the vehicle. He further stated that the Deputy began to investigate and found out that the girl had just been kidnapped from her home in Vandenberg Village and that the male was a predator from Riverside who established an Internet relationship with the young girl by purporting to be a 15-year old male. He stated that the male arranged for them to meet and the young girl was under the impression that a 15-year old was coming to meet her. He further stated that she stepped out of the house and the male threw her into the van. He commented that fortunately the Deputy was at the right place at the right time and he made the arrest and the suspect was charged with kidnapping and child molestation.

He noted that they now had one predator off the street and they were searching other crimes this male had committed. He stated that these issues come up and he could not say whether he could guarantee that a Deputy will be at the right place at the right time. He further stated that he could say that when positions are cut and Deputies are not out in the field the City will have diminished crime prevention capabilities by 50 percent. He noted that it was important that they have the adequate staffing and crime prevention capabilities. He stated that the reduction in staffing levels had only been in effect for six months and he did not want to see a tragic event that could have been prevented by having adequate resources. He expressed his hope that the City Council would consider putting the second Deputy on the streets

Jim Whiting spoke regarding the release of prisoners due to the overcrowding of the jails. He inquired whether this would impact the City and surrounding areas and whether it would make the Sheriff's Department jobs more difficult. He suggested that perhaps the City needed the extra Officer.

Commander Laz Salinas responded to Mr. Whiting's comments and stated that what the Sheriff's Department had noticed over recent contacts was they were making more contacts with parolees. He noted that one out of three contacts with anyone out in the public has been a parolee and this was a trend that concerned them. He stated that this was the reason why he stressed upon having a Deputy on patrol in the evening. He commented that it was almost to the point of being unacceptable and that the City was putting the citizens and the Deputy at risk. He stated that it appeared the City had the funding available to restore one position. He noted that there were an estimated 40,000 parolees from State prisons being released on the streets with very little or no supervision and the City needed to have two Deputy Patrols Officers.

Councilmember Carty expressed his concern with subsequent contracts and expressed his desire for some assurance that could be given to the City Council that the contract would not increase by ten percent next year and subsequent years. He stated that he was in favor of restoring the Deputy Patrol Officer and the Community Resource Deputy positions for the balance of the fiscal year.

Councilmember Reddington stated that Lt. Kelly exhibited the need to restore the Deputy Patrol Officer; however, she was uncertain regarding restoring the Community Resource Deputy. She commented that she had always felt that the Deputy Patrol Officer should also be a Community Affairs Officer as it was part of their duty to work with the community

Councilmember Carty stated that he believed there was improvement needed under the statement of work in the contract under Item D, Community Relations Program. He further stated that he believed the City could work together with the Sheriff's Department to communicate much better with the public in Carpinteria and improve community relations.

Councilmember Armendariz stated that he had been of the view that this was not the right decision from the beginning. He also stated that he appreciated the evolution of the issue as it had given the Sheriff's Department the opportunity to rearticulate the value of what they do. He further stated that he believed it was useful to give the speaker's thoughts some consideration with respect to the early release of prisoners. He commented that he believed the Patrol position was essential and he suggested that the City approach a defined contribution concept instead of defining what services the City would have relative to the available funds. He also suggested having the Sheriff's Department come back with a suggestion on how they want to spend the funds for the remainder of this fiscal year. He stated that he believed there were funds available to restore two positions. He also stated that as far as efficiencies the County conducts performance based budgeting and they do a very effective job. He expressed his support for staff's recommendation.

Councilmember Clark stated that he agreed with Councilmember Carty in that the Community Resource Deputy was a very important position as far as prevention. He inquired what duties a Community Resource Deputy could do, whether this Officer had scheduled tasks to perform, and whether the tasks could be done while the Officer is driving a beat. Lt. Moore responded that this Officer could do it all. He noted that this option would allow them to direct the Officer's actions and address problems that are time consuming such as being dedicated to run Community Emergency Response Team (CERT) programs. He stated that it was every Deputy's job to create a positive relationship with the community.

Councilmember Reddington addressed Lt. Moore and inquired what would be his priority in restoring service. Lt. Moore responded that his priority was the safety of the citizens primarily with restoring the Patrol Deputy, secondly the Detective position, and lastly the Community Resource Deputy.

Chief Banks stated that they had an idea where they could do a shared job with a Deputy/Community Resource Deputy combination. He noted that this would give them the capability if they have a situation where there is a lot of crime happening and they need the investigative skills. He stated that two Detectives could look at the problem with the concept of a Deputy/Community Resource Deputy combined. He stated that he believed the Sheriff's Department staff could work very well and become very efficient and it would give them the capability to shift the work load where needed. Councilmember Carty expressed that he liked this idea.

Councilmember Armendariz stated that he agreed and that he believed this was completely consistent with maximum efficiency and making use of multiple bodies to do various things. He noted that a good way to look at this was not to define a level of benefit but rather define the level of contribution in order to restore the resources to the experts and allow them to define the priorities.

Mayor Clark expressed that this was not consistent with what Councilmember Carty said because the Sheriff's Department's first priority was to restore the Deputy Patrol position, followed by restoring the Detective position, and lastly by restoring the Community Resource Deputy position. Councilmember Armendariz noted that Lt. Moore indicated that the Sheriff's Department could do two duties with one Officer. Lt. Moore clarified that technically the Deputy was one classification and the Detective and Community Resource Deputy were another classification of Senior Deputy. He noted that how they direct the activities performed by Deputies was entirely up to the Sheriff's Department.

Motion by Councilmember Reddington, seconded by Councilmember Armendariz, to restore the Patrol Deputy position for the remainder of the year at \$83,895 and the shared position of Detective/Community Resource Deputy for the remainder of the year at \$88,655, including collaboration effort among the City Council, the City staff, and the Sheriff's Department to look at levels of service and how to fund that level of service on what would be best for the community.

Upon voice vote, motion carried. Vice Mayor Stein was absent.

11. City Maintenance Project 15207, Linden Avenue Concrete Repair, Advertisement for Bids

Recommendation: Authorize the Public Works Director to advertise for bids, City Maintenance Project 15027, Linden Avenue Concrete Repair.

Public Works Management Analyst Dylan Paul presented the staff report.

Councilmember Reddington inquired what would be done with the current trees. Mr. Paul responded that at the last meeting the City Council made recommendations in line with the replacement of trees for the affected areas. He noted that the Tipuana trees would be replaced by maintaining the existing character with mature trees. Councilmember Reddington inquired regarding the size and types of trees that would replace the Tipuana trees. Mr. Paul responded that the existing Tipuana trees were eight to ten inches in diameter and the replacement trees would vary upon the availability. He noted that trees were typically replaced with five-gallon trees in a 24-inch diameter box. He also noted that replacement trees must be selected with the potential for root binding for some of the species that may have sat too long on the lot. He stated that the specific trees approved by the City Council were the Chinese Pistache, one Fountain Palm, and two Chinese Flames. He also stated that he supposed that the trunk size would be between two and three inches in diameter. He noted that the City Arborist and Tree Advisory Board had made comments of the need to be selective about which trees to plant and to use the industry standard for the best growth in newly planted trees.

City Manager Dave Durlinger stated that the options considered in replacement of the Tipuana trees were selected based on pedestrian activity on the sidewalks and the limited right-of-way. He noted that rubberized sidewalks are used where there is not much pedestrian activity and that staff's recommendation was based on parking and pedestrian demand.

Councilmember Carty commented that the Tipuana tree was a poor choice for a long term tree in the downtown due to the damage it causes. He stated that he agreed that planting young trees would do better than planting mature trees. He inquired whether this project was being funded entirely with Measure D funds. Public Works Director Charlie Ebeling responded that there were Measure D funds remaining that would be used for this project.

No public comment.

Motion by Councilmember Carty, seconded by Mayor Clark, to authorize the Public Works Director to advertise for bids, City Maintenance Project 15027, Linden Avenue Concrete Repair.

Upon voice vote, motion carried. Councilmember Reddington was opposed. Vice Mayor Stein was absent.

#### **COMMITTEE REPORTS, INQUIRIES AND OTHER MATTERS PRESENTED BY COUNCILMEMBERS**

Councilmember Reddington stated that she had always felt that the Councilmembers' comments are important. She noted that on the agenda earlier that evening were the items related to Councilmember Armendariz under the City's ethics code. She commented that one of the choices that the City Council did not have on the agenda was to allow Councilmember Armendariz to go into rehabilitation. She stated that she believed this choice was the most prominent and important choice that the City Council could make. She commented that the reason this worked with the ethics code was that she believed it provided an opportunity to address the ethics policy which supports taking voluntary action. She stated that before the City Council dismantles Councilmember Armendariz's responsibilities that she believed it was important that they look at fairness, look at what would be best for the City, and look at what would benefit the City and for the City Council to allow Councilmember Armendariz to go into rehabilitation, sign into that, take a leave of absence, and then come back with full accord, restore all of his duties, and restore everything that he was elected to do. She further stated that she believed this was the only fair, respectful way to treat this situation. She noted that she had been quiet about this subject for quite some time and she had taken everything she had seen and read into account. She stated that she felt very strongly that if the City Councilmembers are to be leaders that they have to look at not only pressuring someone to walk away but give someone the opportunity to come back clean, together, and whole. She further stated that this was the Councilmembers'

responsibility as leaders of the community. She suggested that giving Councilmember Armendariz the opportunity to seek rehabilitation and putting that in the discussion as an alternative to everything else listed on the agenda was really the most fair and brilliant thing that the City Council could do.

City Manager Dave Durlinger suggested that staff could develop another option when this item comes back at the next meeting. Councilmember Reddington commented that her request was to give Councilmember Armendariz this option first before considering any other options and that she wanted this option to be on the agenda prior to any other options. Mayor Clark stated that he was willing to add this option to the agenda item as another option.

Councilmember Armendariz stated that he appreciated Councilmember Reddington's concern. He noted that the two of them had spoken about his issue. He stated that he appreciated her point of view and that she had demonstrated grace and compassion. He further stated that he appreciated that there was an appetite out in the community for the City Council to do something and that he understood that at the end of the day whether or not he goes into a formal rehabilitation program or not would be his choice. He noted that he was currently in a recovery program and that he had been sober since his accident. He expressed his hope that when this item comes back that his seven years serving on the City Council would be considered in its totality and it would not simply become what happened on December 2. He stated that he believed his contribution to the City Council had been substantial and that it was up to the Mayor as to how he wanted to formulate that idea.

City Manager Dave Durlinger indicated that this would be included as an option discussed on the staff report as specified by the Mayor.

## **ADJOURNMENT**

The meeting was adjourned at 7:36 p.m. by Mayor Clark.

---

Al Clark, Mayor

ATTEST:

---

Fidela Garcia, CMC  
City Clerk